



University of Technology Sydney
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING

**NOVEL NANOCOMPOSITE MEMBRANES FOR
OSMOTICALLY DRIVEN PROCESSES:
FABRICATION AND APPLICATION**

by

SUNGIL LIM

A Thesis submitted in fulfilment for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

**School of Civil and Environmental Engineering
Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology
University of Technology Sydney (UTS)
New South Wales, Australia**

January 2019

Acknowledgement

First of all, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my principle supervisor Prof. Ho Kyong Shon for his continuous support of my PhD study and related research, for his motivation, academic knowledge and encouragement. His supervision supported me in all the time of my research and writing of the PhD thesis. I could never have expected having a better supervisor and mentor for my PhD study.

Furthermore, I would like to thank my co-supervisors: Dr. Sherub Phuntsho and Dr. Leonard Tijjing for their insightful comments and suggestions, and my external committee members (Dr. Geoffrey Johnston-Hall in Evoqua Water Technologies and Dr. Ludovic Dumeé in Deakin University) for their impartial assessment with appropriate comments in the thesis examination.

My sincere appreciation also goes to all group members in NanoMemPro, who provided me an opportunity for stimulate discussions for the research and encouraged me to further improve the research quality. In particular, I would like to appreciate all members in the membrane fabrication team (Mr. Van Huy Tran, Mrs. Nawshad Akther, Dr. Myoung Jun Park and Mr. Ralph Rolly Gonzales) for their strong collaboration and discussion. Also, I would like to acknowledge the lab managers (Dr. Mohammed Johir and Dr. Rami Hadad) for the laboratory support and administrative staff (Ms. Trish Dimasi and Ms. Van Le) for the administrative support. Without their precious support, it would have not been possible to successfully finalise the thesis. Also, this PhD work would not have been possible without the financial support of UTS President Scholarship (UTSP) and Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology Top Up Scholarship for living costs, and international research scholarship (IRS) for tuition fees during the PhD course.

Last but not the least, I would like to present my deepest gratitude to my wife Mrs. Hyeyoung Lee for her tremendous patience, support and dedication for the thesis. Moreover, I truly thank

my beloved daughter Ms. Jiyu Lim for being healthy. My PhD work would not have been successfully finished without their supports in Australia. I am also profoundly grateful to my parents (Gyuyoung Lim, Makyeol Park, Gapsub Lee and Sundeok Kim), family members and relatives in the Republic of Korea for supporting us spiritually and financially throughout the PhD course in Australia.

Certificate of Authorship

I, **Sungil Lim** declare that this thesis, is submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of **Doctor of Philosophy**, in the School of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology at the University of Technology Sydney. This thesis is wholly my own work unless otherwise reference or acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis. This document has not been submitted for qualifications at any other academic institution. This research is supported by the Australian Government Research Training Program.

Production Note:

Signature of Student: Signature removed prior to publication.

Date: 04/10/2018

Abstract

For osmotically driven membrane processes, including forward osmosis (FO) and pressure retarded osmosis (PRO), water permeate was selectively induced across a semi-permeable polymeric membrane by the osmotic pressure generated from the salinity gradient. Although both FO and PRO processes are mainly driven by the osmotic pressure difference increased by the more concentrated draw solution on the permeate site of the membrane, membrane orientations for the processes are mainly confirmed as the active layer facing the feed solution (AL-FS) for FO, and the active layer facing the draw solution (AL-DS) for PRO, respectively. Although the AL-FS orientation for FO is beneficial for controlling membrane fouling on the dense active layer, diluted internal concentration polarisation (ICP) inside a FO membrane would be a major obstacle to maintaining the osmotic driving force under FO operation. These processes have been widely used for a variety of water treatments and hybrid systems as a low-energy process. However, the processes still have some critical challenges for membrane development, which are related to the following aspects: water permeability, reverse solute diffusion, concentration polarisation, membrane fouling and membrane stability. Although many earlier studies developed various kinds of polymeric membranes at laboratory scale to produce outstanding performances for overcoming existing challenges in FO and PRO processes, most of them never produced their own scaled-up membrane modules for commercial applications. This study, therefore, initially targeted novel nanocomposite membrane development for FO and PRO processes using the dual-blade casting technique and two hydrophilic nanomaterials (graphene oxide and halloysite nanotubes). Subsequently, we selected the best strategy in our activities, and the selected one was further investigated for its commercial viability.

A novel, thin-film composite (TFC) forward osmosis (FO) membrane with dual-layered substrate support was manufactured by a double-blade casting technique using different polysulfone (PSf) concentrations for top (15 wt%) and bottom (7 wt%) substrate layers. Graphene oxide (GO), at the optimum loading of 0.25 wt.%, was incorporated into the substrate layer, and the dual casting approach resulted in a membrane support with an extremely porous bottom structure and a dense top skin layer on which the polyamide active layer was effectively formed, which is desirable for reducing the internal concentration polarisation (ICP) so that the optimum dual-layered GO nanocomposite membrane exhibited high water permeability and good ion selectivity enhanced by the presence of well-dispersed hydrophilic GO in the PSf substrate. The optimum FO membrane with a fabric backing support exhibited similar FO performances to a fabric-free one under the same compositions, so that this approach presents positive potential for commercial application. In addition, the dual-blade casting technique was also benchmarked to develop high-performance PRO membranes. The approach incorporates halloysite nanotubes (HNTs) into the bottom polymer substrate layer and GO on the top layer substrate, on which a thin polyamide active layer is formed. The fabricated membrane substrate showed highly desirable membrane substrate properties, such as a high porosity, opened-bottom surface, suitable top-skin surface morphology for subsequent polyamide (PA) layer formation and high mechanical strength, which are all desirable for high-performance PRO processes. The bottom substrate incorporated with HNTs presented high fouling resistance and antibacterial properties due to the HNT's loading to a closed morphology, enhanced negative charge density and nano-sized pins on the bottom surfaces for alleviating the growth of microorganisms. The overall results of this study, therefore, suggested an alternative approach for developing outstanding PRO membranes with high performance, fouling resistance and antibacterial properties.

As the demand for new HF TFC membranes for FO increases, outer-selective hollow fibre (OSHF) TFC membranes were designed and developed by the novel approach used in this study. Thin and porous HF membrane substrates comprising a dense and smooth outer surface were manufactured by manipulating the air-gap distance in the HF fabricating unit. Subsequently, the vacuum-assisted interfacial polymerisation (VAIP) was specially modified for formation of a PA layer on the outer surface of the HF membrane substrate. The experimental results confirmed that the membrane substrate should be a smooth layer with a molecular weight cut-off (MWCO) of less than 88 kDa for subsequent PA layer formation via VAIP. The optimum OSHF TFC membranes manufactured using the air-gap (6 cm) exhibited outstanding FO performance. Furthermore, the optimised membrane demonstrated higher fouling resistance and cleaning efficiency using a silica-alginate spiked feed solution due to the membrane's physico-chemical characteristics. Moreover, large-scale membrane modules (22.8 cm²) were successfully produced under our methodology, so that we can expect strong scalability of our membranes at a commercial scale.

For further development of the OSHF TFC membranes, specific hydrophilic nanomaterials were used to prepare OSHF thin-film nanocomposite (TFN) membranes. This study focused on size-controlled graphene oxide (SGO) and schiff base network-1 (SNW-1) as COF for the TFN membranes. The novel hypothesis and related mechanisms were demonstrated based on theoretical and experimental studies. Under the VAIP process, most SGO and SNW-1 nanofillers in meta-phenylenediamine (MPD) solution diffused on the membrane surface were horizontally stacked on the outer surface due to the vacuum suction from inside to outside, so that the nanofillers could be effectively embedded into the PA selective layer for producing OSHF TFN membranes with extremely low material loading. In particular, SGO nanosheets were ideally incorporated into the thin PA layer under the optimum loading at 0.0005 wt%, so that the produced TFN membranes exhibited extremely high levels

of water flux due to enhanced free volume and hydrophilicity of the PA composite layer from GO. In particular, SGO membranes exhibited better water flux and ion rejection than those of bulk GO embedded membranes (BGO) due to less water pathways and high free volume inside the PA layer from SGO's small lateral size. In addition, SGO demonstrated strong fouling resistance and high cleaning efficiency using artificial wastewater due to the loose accumulation of foulants on the surface. Using SNW-1 nanoparticles, high permeable OSHF TFN membranes were also successfully developed in this study. Based on the above mechanisms, SNW-1 nanoparticles were also securely incorporated into a PA layer with a less detrimental effect on the PA layer's integrity. It is expected that the secondary amine groups in SNW-1 may chemically react with the acyl chloride groups in the TMC solution through covalent bonding to further improve the integrity of the PA composite layer. As a result, the optimum OSHF TFN membranes exhibited the highest water transport and ion selectivity, which may be attributable to the porous structures and hydrophilic nature of SNW-1. In addition, SNW-1 nanoparticles were well organized inside the thin and dense PA matrix with retaining the PA layer's integrity. Accordingly, the optimum TFN membranes exhibited stable FO performances under long-term operation (72 hours), indicating that the SNW-1 embedded OSHF TFN membranes are able to possess strong durability under FO operation.

Accordingly, our approaches can be valuable and practical for producing superior FO and PRO membranes with outstanding performance and fouling resistance among existing research, and commercial TFC and TFN membranes for their applications. Through my discussion, it is expected that the OSHF TFN GO membranes (as stated in Chapter 6) can be the best performing FO membranes that this thesis has studied in terms of the membranes' intrinsic transport properties and fouling potential for water treatment. In addition, this OSHF TFN membrane makes it easier to scale up membrane modules for commercial application compared to that of the flat-sheet membrane. The scaled-up OSHF TFN membrane module can

also be a suitable candidate for various osmotically driven processes such as aerobic or anaerobic osmotic membrane bioreactors (OMBR), fertiliser-drawn OMBR (FD-OMBR) or high-turbidity water treatment. Furthermore, based on my dual-blade casting studies we may consider developing dual-layered OSHF TFC or TFN membranes for FO or PRO applications using a triple-orifice spinneret. Finally, we strongly believe that the proposed novel approaches can provide bright insights into advance of FO processes, and our research team is planning to scale up the optimum membrane modules for various process applications and their commercialisation in the near future.

Keywords: *membrane; forward osmosis; pressure retarded osmosis, thin film composite; thin film nanocomposite; dual blade casting; outer selective; flat-sheet; hollow fiber; graphene oxide; halloysite nanotubes; covalent organic framework*

Journal Articles or Book Chapter Published or Submitted

1. **Sungil Lim**, Myoung Jun Park, Sherub Phuntsho, Leonard D Tijing, Grace M Nisola, Wang-Geun Shim, Wook-Jin Chung, Ho Kyong Shon*, “Dual-layered nanocomposite substrate membrane based on polysulfone/graphene oxide for mitigating internal concentration polarization in forward osmosis”, *Polymer* **110**, pp.36-48, 2017 (**Chapter 3**)
2. Dong Han Seo, Shafique Pineda, Yun Chul Woo, Ming Xie, Adrian T Murdock, Elisa YM Ang, Yalong Jiao, Myoung Jun Park, **Sung Il Lim**, Malcolm Lawn, Fabricio Frizera Borghi, Zhao Jun Han, Stephen Gray, Graeme Millar, Aijun Du, Ho Kyong Shon, Teng Yong Ng, Kostya Ken Ostrikov*, “Anti-fouling graphene-based membranes for effective water desalination”, *Nature communications* **9** (1), pp.683, 2018
3. **Sungil Lim**, Myoung Jun Park, Sherub Phuntsho, Anne Mai-Prochnow, Anthony B Murphy, Donghan Seo, Hokyong Shon*, “Dual-layered nanocomposite membrane incorporating graphene oxide and halloysite nanotube for high osmotic power density and fouling resistance”, *Journal of Membrane Science* **564** (15), pp.382-393, 2018 (**Chapter 4**)
4. Masafumi Shibuya¹, Myoung Jun Park¹, **Sungil Lim**, Sherub Phuntsho, Hideto Matsuyama, Ho Kyong Shon*, “Novel CA/PVDF nanofiber supports strategically designed via coaxial electrospinning for high performance thin-film composite forward osmosis membranes for desalination, *Desalination* **445**, pp.63-74, 2018

5. **Sungil Lim** and Hokyong Shon*, “Characterization of membranes for membrane-based salinity-gradient processes”, *Membrane-Based Salinity Gradient Processes for Water Treatment and Power Generation (Book chapter)*, Elsevier, pp.125-154, 2018

6. Federico Volpin¹, Ralph Rolly Gonzales¹, **Sungil Lim**, Nirenkumar Pathak, Sherub Phuntsho and Ho Kyong Shon, “GreenPRO: A novel fertilizer-driven osmotic power generation process for fertigation”, *Desalination* **447**, pp.158-166, 2018

7. **Sungil Lim**, Van Huy Tran, Nawshad Akther, Sherub Phuntsho and Ho Kyong Shon*, “Development of defect-free outer-selective thin-film composite hollow fiber membranes for forward osmosis applications”, Under review in *Journal of Membrane Science*, 2019
(Chapter 5)

8. Myoung Jun Park, **Sungil Lim**, Ralph Rolly Gonzales, Sherub Phuntsho, Dong Suk Han, Ahmed Abdel-Wahab, Samer Adham, Ho Kyong Shon*, “Thin-film composite hollow fiber membrane incorporated with graphene oxide in polyethersulfone support layer for enhanced osmotic power density, Under review in *Desalination*, 2019

9. Nawshad Akther¹, **Sungil Lim**¹, Van Huy Tran, Sherub Phuntsho, Tae-Hyun Bae and Ho Kyong Shon*, “Synthesis and characterization of thin film nanocomposite forward osmosis membranes using Schiff base network”, Under review in *Separation and Purification Technology*, 2019

10. Van Huy Tran¹, **Sungil Lim**¹, Nirenkumar Pathak, Nawshad Akther, Sherub Phuntsho, Dong Suk Han and Ho Kyong Shon*, “Application of novel outer selective thin film composite hollow fiber forward osmosis membrane in osmotic membrane bioreactor

treating municipal wastewater”, Under review in *Bioresource Technology*, 2019
(Chapter 3)

Journal Articles in preparation

1. **Sungil Lim**, Kwanghyun Park, Van Huy Tran, Nawshad Akther, Sherub Phuntsho and Ho Kyong Shon*, Novel outer-active thin-film nanocomposite hollow fiber membranes with size-controlled graphene oxide for osmotic membrane bioreactor applications”, in preparation, 2019 (**Chapter 6**)
2. **Sungil Lim**, Nawshad Akther, Van Huy Tran, Tae-Hyeon Bae, Sherub Phuntsho and Ho Kyong Shon*, Development of outer-active thin-film nanocomposite hollow fiber membranes with covalent organic framework (SNW-1) for forward osmosis applications”, in preparation, 2019 (**Chapter 7**)

Conference papers and presentations

1. Nawshad Akther, **Sungil Lim**, Van Huy Tran, Sherub Phuntsho, Tae-Hyun Bae, Noreddine Ghaffour and Ho Kyong Shon*, “Covalent organic frameworks-based thin-film nanocomposite membranes for forward osmosis processes”, The 11th conference on the Aseanian Membrane Society, AMS11, July 3–6, Brisbane, Australia, 2018
2. **Sungil Lim**, Van Huy Tran, Nawshad Akther, Sherub Phuntsho and Ho Kyong Shon*, “Preparation of outer-selective thin-film composite hollow fiber membranes with high performance and fouling resistance for forward osmosis applications”, The 11th conference on the Aseanian Membrane Society, AMS11, July 3–6, Brisbane, Australia, 2018
3. **Sungil Lim**, Myoung Jun Park, Sherub Phuntsho, Anne Mai-Prochnow, Anthony B. Murphy, Donghan Seo and Ho Kyong Shon*, “High power density and low fouling propensity in dual-layered nanocomposite membranes with graphene oxide and halloysite nanotubes for osmotic energy production”, 1st International Conference on Bioinspired Materials and Membranes (IBMM2018) in conjunction with 1st International Conference on Energy-efficient Separation (iEESep2018), January 23–27, Melbourne, Australia, 2018
4. **Sungil Lim**, Myoung Jun Park, Sherub Phuntsho and Ho Kyong Shon*, “Preparation of dual-layered nanocomposite membranes using graphene oxide and halloysite nanotubes for enhancing the performance in osmotically driven processes”, 2017

International Congress on Membranes and Membrane Processes, July 29–August 4, San Francisco, USA, 2017

5. Ho Kyong Shon*, **Sungil Lim**, Myoung Jun Park and Sherub Phuntsho, “Fabrication of high selective thin-film composite membrane with graphene oxide incorporated dual-layered substrate for fertilizer dwawn forward osmosis”, The 9th International Desalination Workshop, November 13–15, Abu Dhabi, UAE, 2016

Contents

Acknowledgement	ii
Certificate of Authorship	iv
Abstract.....	v
Journal Articles or Book Chapter Published or Submitted	x
Journal Articles in preparation	xiii
Conference papers and presentations	xiv
Contents.....	xvi
List of Figures.....	xx
List of Tables.....	xxvii
1 Introduction.....	1
1.1 Research background.....	1
1.2 Research motivation	3
1.3 Objectives and scope of the research.....	7
1.4 Structure outline of the thesis	9
2 Literature review.....	11
2.1 Introduction.....	11
2.2 Limitations and challenges of FO and PRO processes.....	11
2.2.1 Fundamental background of osmotically driven processes.....	11
2.2.2 Limitations and challenges of FO and PRO processes.....	15
2.3 Recent activities for development of novel FO and PRO membranes	26
2.3.1 Hydrophilic materials	26
2.3.2 Fabrication strategies.....	35
2.3.3 Incorporation of hydrophilic nanomaterials	52
3 Dual-layered nanocomposite membrane based on polysulfone/graphene oxide for mitigating internal concentration polarization in forward osmosis	68
3.1 Abstract.....	68
3.2 Introduction.....	69
3.3 Experimental.....	73
3.3.1 Materials and chemicals	73
3.3.2 Preparation of dual-layered PSf/GO membrane substrates	74
3.3.3 Preparation of TFC FO membranes by interfacial polymerization.....	76
3.3.4 Characterization of the membrane substrates.....	77

3.3.5	Evaluation of TFC FO membrane performances	78
3.4	Results and discussion	80
3.4.1	Characteristics and performances of membrane substrates	80
3.4.2	Characteristics and performances of TFC FO membranes	86
3.4.3	TFC FO membranes supported with backing fabric	94
3.5	Conclusions	97
4	Dual-layered nanocomposite membrane incorporating graphene oxide and halloysite nanotube for high osmotic power density and fouling resistance	99
4.1	Abstract	99
4.2	Introduction	100
4.3	Experimental	105
4.3.1	Materials and chemicals	105
4.3.2	Preparation of nanocomposite membrane substrates	106
4.3.3	Formation of thin-film composite membranes	109
4.3.4	Membrane characterizations	109
4.3.5	Evaluation of TFC PRO membrane performance	111
4.3.6	Fouling and anti-biological tests of TFC PRO membranes	112
4.4	Results and discussion	113
4.4.1	Characteristics and performances of membrane substrates	113
4.4.2	Characteristics and performance of TFC PRO membranes	118
4.4.3	Anti-fouling properties of TFC PRO membranes in PRO processes	127
4.5	Conclusions	133
4.6	Supporting information	134
4.6.1	Formation of thin-film composite membranes	134
4.6.2	Evaluation of intrinsic transport properties of thin-film composite membranes	134
4.6.3	Evaluation of TFC PRO membrane performances (Water flux, reverse solute flux and power density)	136
4.6.4	List of figures	138
5	Defect-free outer-selective hollow fiber thin-film composite membranes for forward osmosis applications	141
5.1	Abstract	141
5.2	Introduction	142
5.3	Materials and methods	147
5.3.1	Materials and chemicals	147

5.3.2	Preparation of hollow fiber membrane substrates	148
5.3.3	Preparation of outer-selective TFC hollow fiber membranes	151
5.3.4	Characterization of membrane substrates.....	153
5.3.5	Evaluation of outer-selective TFC hollow-fiber membrane performance	153
5.3.6	Evaluation of fouling potential on outer selective hollow-fiber TFC FO membranes.....	154
5.4	Results and discussion	155
5.4.1	Characteristics of hollow fiber membrane substrates.....	155
5.4.2	Characteristics and performance of outer-selective hollow fiber (OSHF) TFC membranes.....	159
5.4.3	Fouling and cleaning of the flat-sheet and hollow-fiber TFC membranes under FO operation	167
5.4.4	Evaluating scalability of OSHF TFC membrane modules.....	171
5.5	Application of the OSHF FO membrane for osmotic membrane bioreactor treating municipal wastewater	173
5.5.1	Introduction and aims	173
5.5.2	Preparation of membrane modules for OMBR operation	173
5.5.3	Operation of OSHF-OMBR system and its preliminary results.....	176
5.6	Conclusion	179
5.7	Supporting information.....	180
5.7.1	Characterization of membrane substrates.....	180
5.7.2	Evaluation of the performances of the TFC FO membranes.....	182
6	Novel outer-selective hollow fiber (OSHF) thin-film nanocomposite membranes with size-controlled graphene oxide for forward osmosis applications	184
6.1	Abstract.....	184
6.2	Introduction.....	185
6.3	Materials and methods	188
6.3.1	Materials and chemicals	188
6.3.2	Preparation of hollow fiber membrane substrates	189
6.3.3	Preparation of OSHF TFN membranes with size-controlled GO	190
6.3.4	Characterization of membrane substrates.....	192
6.3.5	FO performance of outer-selective TFC hollow-fiber membranes	193
6.3.6	Membrane fouling of hollow-fiber TFC FO membranes	194
6.4	Results and discussion	195

6.4.1	Novel hypothesis of OSHF TFN membranes incorporated with size-controlled GOs.....	195
6.4.2	Characteristics of size-controlled GO	199
6.4.3	Characteristics of outer-selective hollow fiber TFN GO membranes	202
6.4.4	Performance of outer-selective hollow fiber TFN GO membranes	207
6.4.5	Fouling and cleaning study of outer-selective hollow fiber TFN GO membranes ..	213
6.5	Conclusions	215
7	Covalent organic framework (COF) based OSHF TFN membranes for forward osmosis applications.....	217
7.1	Abstract.....	217
7.2	Introduction.....	218
7.3	Materials and methods.....	221
7.3.1	Materials and chemicals	221
7.3.2	Preparation of hollow fiber membrane substrates	222
7.3.3	Preparation of outer-selective hollow fiber thin-film nanocomposite (TFN) membranes with SNW-1	224
7.3.4	Characterization of OSHF TFN membranes with SNW-1.....	225
7.3.5	FO performance of outer-selective TFN hollow-fiber membranes.....	226
7.4	Results and discussion	227
7.4.1	SNW-1 nanoparticles and their behaviour in the PA active layer.....	227
7.4.2	Characterizations of OSHF TFN membranes with SNW-1 nanoparticles.....	231
7.4.3	FO performances of OSHF TFN membranes with SNW-1 nanoparticles.....	235
7.4.4	Stability of FO performance in long-term operation.....	238
7.5	Conclusions and future works	239
8	Conclusions and recommendations	242
8.1	Dual-blade casting technique for developing FO and PRO membranes	242
8.2	Outer-selective hollow fiber (OSHF) TFC FO membrane and its applications	244
8.3	OSHF TFN FO membranes with hydrophilic nanomaterials	245
8.4	Recommendations.....	247
9	References.....	249

List of Figures

Figure 1 Overall structures of the study on development of novel nanocomposite membranes for osmotically driven processes.	8
Figure 2 Reverse osmosis (RO), Forward osmosis (FO) and Pressure retarded osmosis (PRO).	12
Figure 3 Relationship of water flux and pressure in RO, PRO, PAO and FO processes	13
Figure 4 Illustration of ECP and ICP through asymmetric FO and PRO membranes	16
Figure 5 Chemical structures of hydrophilic sulfonated polymer materials what have been used for FO membrane development.....	28
Figure 6 Morphological images (top, bottom and cross-section) of HTI TFC membrane (a) and sPPSU-based TFC membrane (b) (Han et al., 2016a).....	29
Figure 7 Morphological images (top, bottom and cross-section) of SPEK blended PSf membrane substrate (25 wt% SPEK) (Han et al., 2012a).	31
Figure 8 Morphological images (top, bottom and cross-section) of SPEK blended PSf membrane substrate according to various blending ratio (Yasukawa et al., 2015).	33
Figure 9 Morphological SEM images of top surface and cross-section of TFC-FO membranes using the PK (25/75) membrane substrate and expected chemical reaction between PK-based membrane substrate and PA active layer (Yasukawa et al., 2015).....	34
Figure 10 (a) Conceptual illustration of an ideal TFC membrane consisting of a scaffold-like nanofiber substrate and PA active layer, (b) schematic diagram of a typical electrospinning system for polymeric membrane preparation: syringe pump, syringe filled in polymer solution, nozzle, nanofiber, drum-shaped collector, and negatively-charged conductive plate with a power supply, (c) morphological images (Top surface, cross-section and deposited PA selective layer) of electrospun nanofiber membranes and (d) thickness of PA layer on the PSf substrate (left) and PSf-based ENM substrate (right) (Woo et al., 2017, Song et al., 2011, Bui and McCutcheon, 2013).	37
Figure 11 Conceptual illustration of dual-layered membrane and representative casting technologies for flat-sheet and hollow-fiber dual-layered membranes (Xia et al., 2018)	40
Figure 12 Conceptual illustration of dual-layered membrane and representative casting technologies for flat-sheet and hollow-fiber dual-layered membranes (Xia et al., 2018, Xia et al., 2017).....	40

Figure 13 Design of the triple spinneret for preparing dual-layered HF membranes.(Yang et al., 2009).	41
Figure 14 Conceptual illustration of single-blade casting for single-layered membrane (a) and dual-blade casting for dual-layered membrane (b) with a PET woven mesh (Liu and Ng, 2014).	43
Figure 15 Morphological images (top, cross-section and bottom) of single and dual-layered membrane substrates with a PET woven mesh (Liu and Ng, 2014).	45
Figure 16 Key FO performances of single-layered and dual-layered TFC membranes under paring of 1M NaCl as DS and DI water as FS. (Liu and Ng, 2014).	46
Figure 17 Schematic illustration of the experimental procedure of the sacrificial approach using PSf as a main polymer and PEI as a sacrificial polymer by the co-casting technology for preparing a porous membrane substrate with an opened bottom surface (Xiao et al., 2015)..	48
Figure 18 SEM morphological images (Top surface, cross-section and bottom surface) of PSf support layer produced by single-blade (top line) and dual-blade (bottom line) casting method and PA active layer in TFC FO membranes.	49
Figure 19 Expected behaviors of inner-selective and outer-selective hollow fibre membranes in FO processes.	51
Figure 20 Types of nanocomposite membranes depending on the location of nanofillers in the TFC membrane matrix.	54
Figure 21 Comparison of the pore formation mechanism with polymeric membranes and mixed matrix membranes incorporated with hydrophilic nanofiller.	56
Figure 22 Cross-section SEM images (a) and topography (b) of series of GO incorporated membrane substrates according to GO loading from 0 to 1 wt% (Park et al., 2015).	57
Figure 23 Schematic illustration of CN/rGO production (left) and internal morphology of Neat and optimum CN/rGO membrane substrate. (Wang et al., 2015)	58
Figure 24 (a) Scheme of the chemical reaction of fCNTs via the refluxing method using nitric acid (left) and photo image of fCNTs dispersed aqueous solution (Goh et al., 2013)	60
Figure 25 (a) The raw rock of HNT, (b) grinded HNT powder, (c) TEM image and (d) SEM image of HNTs (Liu et al., 2014)	61
Figure 26 SEM images of the top surface of the MMMs with different loading of HNTs: (a) 0 wt% (b) 0.25 wt%, (c) 0.5 wt% and (d) 1 wt%.	64
Figure 27 Illustration of TFN membrane fabrication using nanofillers through the IP process (Yin and Deng, 2015a)	66

Figure 28 Conceptual illustration of the co-casting technique for dual-layered TFC FO membranes incorporated with GO nanosheets.	75
Figure 29 Contact angles and membrane thickness of substrate membranes made of different casting methods as (a) PSf _s , (b) PSf _d and (c) PSf _d GO.	81
Figure 30 Pure water permeability and porosity of substrate membranes made of different casting methods (a) PSf _s , (b) PSf _d and (c) PSf _d GO.	82
Figure 31 Morphological images of the PSf support layers and TFC FO active layers prepared by single-layered casting (PSf _s), dual-layered casting (PSf _d) and dual-layered casting incorporated with GO nanosheets (PSf _d GO) corresponding to top surface (a), cross-section (b), bottom surface (c) of the membrane substrates and top surface (d) of the TFC FO membranes.	85
Figure 32 Pore size distributions of substrate membranes made of different casting methods (a) PSf _s , (b) PSf _d and (c) PSf _d GO.	86
Figure 33 FO performances of HTI-CTA and designed TFC FO membranes in osmotic tests, (a) water flux, (b) RSF and (c) SRSF in both AL-FS and AL-DS orientations with 1 M NaCl as DS and DI water as FS.	91
Figure 34 Water flux (a) and SRSF (b) of designed TFC membranes according to various NaCl DS concentrations (0.5–4 M) using DI water as FS in AL-FS mode.	94
Figure 35 Stress-strain curve (a) and tensile strength of TFC FO membranes and commercial HTI-CTA membranes.	96
Figure 36 Water flux (a) and RSF (b) of TFC-PSf _d GO and TFC-PSf _d GO _f in both AL-FS and AL-DS orientation.	96
Figure 37 Morphological images of dual-layered GO membrane with (PSf _d GO _f) corresponding to cross-section (a), bottom surface (b).	97
Figure 38 Conceptual illustration of the dual-layered TFC PRO membranes with GO and HNTs incorporated in the top and bottom substrate layer, respectively. The optimum PRO membrane in this study is expected to possess high PD, high ion selectivity and significant fouling resistance for osmotic power generation.	108
Figure 39 SEM images of flat-sheet PRO membrane substrates: Top, bottom and cross-section morphologies, and cross-section morphologies for pressurized membrane substrates under the applied pressure of up to 27 bar.	116
Figure 40 Strain–stress curve (a) and tensile strength (b) of fabric-free flat-sheet PRO membranes. The concentration of HNTs was varied from 0 to 6 wt%. The actual thickness of the membranes ranged from 95 to 110 μm.	117

Figure 41 (a) Power density (PD), (b) specific reverse solute flux (SRSF) and (c) pressure cycle test of the PRO membranes at different applied pressures. The PD was determined from the water flux and effective applied pressure. The FS and DS was DI water and 1 M NaCl solution, respectively.....	123
Figure 42 Comparison of PD (a), SRSF (b), and pressure cycling test (c) of <i>T-GO/HNT0</i> , <i>T-GO/HNT2</i> , <i>T-GO/HNT4</i> and <i>T-GO/HNT6</i> under different applied hydraulic pressures. The concentration of HNTs in the bottom layer was 0, 2, 4 and 6 wt%, and the GO in the top layer was at 0.25 wt%. The DS and FS was 1 M NaCl solution and DI water, respectively.....	126
Figure 43 (a) PD and (b) SRSF of <i>S/free</i> and <i>GO/HNT4</i> under applied pressures of 18 bar for <i>T-S/free</i> and 21 bar for <i>T-GO/HNT4</i> for 300 min. The DS and FS was 1 M NaCl solution and DI water, respectively.....	127
Figure 44 Comparison of fouling propensity in the synthesized PRO membranes with different concentrations of HNTs in the bottom layer and the commercial PRO membrane. DI water spiked with sodium alginate (200 mg L ⁻¹) and colloidal silica (200 mg L ⁻¹) was used as a FS, and the DS was a 1 M NaCl solution. The fouling tests for each membrane were conducted at the respective hydraulic pressure at which the PD was largest.	130
Figure 45 Fluorescent images of <i>E. coli</i> biofilms, control (a), top and bottom surfaces of <i>S/free</i> (b and c), and top and bottom surfaces of <i>GO/HNT4</i> (d and e) membrane substrates incubated with <i>E. coli</i>	132
Figure 46 Schematic illustration of the laboratory-scale PRO membrane test unit.	138
Figure 47 ATR-FTIR spectra for dual-layered GO/HNT hybrid PRO membranes in the range of 800 and 1200 cm ⁻¹	138
Figure 48 Existence of HNTs on the bottom layer of flat-sheet PRO membranes: (a) <i>GO/HNT2</i> , (b) <i>GO/HNT4</i> , (c) <i>GO/HNT6</i> , and (d) High magnitude images of HNTs in the pores of PRO membranes.....	139
Figure 49 (a) 3D AFM images / average roughness, and (b) contact angle of the bottom surface of flat-sheet PRO membranes prepared different HNT loadings: <i>GO/HNT0</i> , <i>GO/HNT2</i> , <i>GO/HNT4</i> and <i>GO/HNT6</i> . The values of contact angle in random positions were averaged with the data of five measurements in random positions each sample.....	139
Figure 50 SEM images of the bottom surface of fouled PRO membranes: <i>GO/HNT0</i> , <i>GO/HNT2</i> , <i>GO/HNT4</i> , <i>GO/HNT6</i> and <i>CPRO</i>	140
Figure 51 Schematic diagram of HF PES membrane substrate preparation as a function of air-gap distance to manipulate its pore size.	149

Figure 52 Conceptual illustration of modified interfacial polymerization for OSHF TFC membrane preparation for FO.	152
Figure 53 (a) Pore size distributions and (b) pure water permeability (PWP) of OSHF membrane substrates (HF-1, HF-2 and HF-3) according to various air-gap distances.	157
Figure 54 SEM images (inside, outside and cross-section) of hollow fibre membrane substrates (HF-1, HF-2 and HF-3).	158
Figure 55 AFM images and average surface roughness (R_a) of OSHF membrane substrates (HF-1, HF-2 and HF-3).	159
Figure 56 (a) Conceptual illustration of the expected mechanisms of PA selective layer formation via VAIP according to surface pore size of membrane substrates (HF-1, HF-2 and HF-3) and (b) FESEM images of PA selective layers of prepared OSHF TFC hollow fiber membranes (THF-1, THF-2 and THF-3).....	161
Figure 57 FO performance (water flux and specific reverse solute flux) of OSHF TFC membranes (HF-1, 2 and 3), commercial flat-sheet (CFS) and inner-selective hollow fiber (CHF) TFC membranes using 1 M NaCl solution as DS and DI water as FS.	164
Figure 58 Water flux (a) and specific reverse solute flux - SRSF (b) of home-made OSHF TFC membranes under different NaCl concentrations from 0.5 to 2 M as DS and DI water as FS.	166
Figure 59 Comparison of fouling propensity and cleaning efficiency of OSHF TFC and commercial FO membranes during stabilization, Stage 1 and Stage 2. DI water spiked with sodium alginate (200 mg L^{-1}) and colloidal silica (200 mg L^{-1}) was used as FS, and 1 M NaCl solution was used as DS. DI water was supplied into the feed stream for physical cleaning. The initial water fluxes of the membrane samples during the stabilization were varied as shown in the figure. The cross-flow velocity of the feed stream for membrane fouling and cleaning was maintained at 10.4 and 31.2 cm/sec, respectively.	170
Figure 60 (a) Water flux and SRSF of small-scaled and large-scaled THF-2 membrane modules and (b) their real picture (Left: small-scaled module, Right: large-scaled module).....	172
Figure 61 Schematic diagram and real picture of the lab-scale osmotic membrane bioreactor system.	175
Figure 62 Water flux profile during two operation regimes under three different fouling control strategies.	179
Figure 63 Comparison of the hypothesized phenomena about GO nanosheets accumulation, arrangement and PA formation under typical IP (a) and the VAIP (b) for TFN GO membranes,	

and comparison of the expected benefits of size-controlled GO (SGO) nanosheets and bulk GO (BGO) nanosheets embedded in the PA layer (c).....	198
Figure 64 SEM images and size distributions (a) and XPS spectra and chemical compositions (b) of BGO and SGO nanosheets.	201
Figure 65 SEM images of MPD-soaked membrane substrates with GO-free and GO nanosheets after the vacuum suction in VAIP.	203
Figure 66 Morphological images (a) of the PA selective layer of SGO0, 1, 3, 5 and 10 samples and expected formation mechanisms (b) of the GO composite PA layer.	204
Figure 67 IR spectra in the range of low ($800\text{--}1,800\text{ cm}^{-1}$) (a) and high ($2,000\text{--}4,000\text{ cm}^{-1}$) (b) wavenumber and contact angle (c) of the TFC and TFN GO membranes.	206
Figure 68 FO performance (water flux and specific reverse solute flux) of OSHF TFN membranes incorporated with GO in the loading range from 0 to 10 g/m^3 under the FO operation with 1 M NaCl solution as DS and DI water as FS.	208
Figure 69 Profile of water flux (a) and specific reverse solute flux - SRSF (b) of the OSHF TFN membranes according to different GO loading under different NaCl concentrations from 0.5 to 2 M as DS and DI water as FS.	210
Figure 70 Performance comparison of recently developed home-made and commercial hollow-fiber membranes for FO applications with our samples (SGO0 and SGO5) using 0.5 or 1 M NaCl solution as DS. (Fan et al., 2018, Ren and McCutcheon, 2017, Li et al., 2017b, Zhong et al., 2013, Sukitpaneemit and Chung, 2012, Xue et al., 2015, Luo et al., 2014, Goh et al., 2013, Setiawan et al., 2012b, Setiawan et al., 2011, Ren and McCutcheon, 2018, Shibuya et al., 2015)	211
Figure 71 Comparison of the water flux and SRSF of the OSHF TFC (SGO0) and TFN GO membranes (BGO5 and SGO5) using bulk and size-controlled GO nanosheets.	213
Figure 72 (a) Comparison of the normalized fluxes (Left) of SGO0, SGO5 and SGO10 under the FO fouling experiment and (b) real pictures (Right) of membrane fibers after stage 1 and after the hydraulic cleaning.	215
Figure 73 Morphological image of SNW-1 nanoparticles.	228
Figure 74 Comparison of expected phenomena about positioning SNW-1 inside PA layer under (a) general IP, and (b) the VAIP process, and expected chemical reaction with secondary amine groups in SNW-1 and acyl chloride groups in the TMC solution through the amide-based covalent bonding.	230

Figure 75 Surface images of MPD- (top left) and MPD/SNW-1-soaked (top right) membrane substrates after conducting the vacuum suction in the VAIP process, and morphological images of stacked SNW-1 (bottom) under high magnifications at x80,000 and x100,000.	232
Figure 76 Morphological SEM images of the PA selective layer of TFC (S0) and TFN (S3, 5, 10, and 15) membrane samples and high resolution image of S15 with observing SNW-1 nanoparticles inside the PA layer (S15).	234
Figure 77 Comparison of key FO performances (water flux-bar, and SRSF-dot) of OSHF TFC (S0) and TFN membranes according to different SNW-1 loading from 0 to 0.015 wt.%. ...	236
Figure 78 (a) water flux and (b) SRSF profiles of S0 (TFC) and S10 (TFN) OSHF membranes according to different concentration of DS from 0.5 to 2 M.	237
Figure 79 Water flux of the best TFN (S10) membrane in the long-term operation for 72 h in order to evaluate the membrane's stability. The DS and FS was 1 M NaCl solution and DI water, respectively. These solutions were refreshed every 8 h in operation for 72 h.	239

List of Tables

Table 1 Recent development of TFC membrane for osmotically driven processes	23
Table 2 Representative nanomaterials for nanocomposite FO membranes according to different shapes.	54
Table 3 The comparison of filler's prices per one kilogram (kg) (Vahdat Vahedi, 2015)	62
Table 4 PSf/GO compositions and casting thickness for the fabrication of designed membrane substrates.	76
Table 5 Intrinsic properties of designed TFC FO membranes and commercial CTA membrane.	88
Table 6 Comparison of performances of TFC FO membranes developed in this study and previous literature.	92
Table 7 Compositions of polymer solutions for the fabrication of the PRO membrane substrates.	109
Table 8 Physical properties of PRO membrane substrates.	117
Table 9 Intrinsic transport properties of homemade and commercial TFC PRO membranes: pure water permeability (<i>A</i>), solute permeability (<i>B</i>), intrinsic selectivity (<i>B/A</i>) and NaCl rejection. The properties were determined by a cross-flow operation at 5 bar.	120
Table 10. Spinning parameters of HF PES membrane substrates	150
Table 11 Intrinsic properties of the OSHF membrane substrates.	155
Table 12 Intrinsic transport properties of newly designed OSHF FO membranes.....	166
Table 13 Intrinsic characteristics of two OSHF TFC FO membrane modules used in this study.	177
Table 14 Spinning parameters of hollow fiber PES membrane substrate.	190
Table 15 Chemical compositions of TFN GO membranes for the VAIP process.....	192
Table 16 Intrinsic transport properties of the OSHF TFN membranes according to various GO loading estimated by the FO model algorithm using the profile of their water flux and SRSF as a function of DS concentration.....	211
Table 17 Spinning parameters of hollow fiber PES membrane substrate.	223
Table 18 Chemical compositions of the VAIP process for OSHF membrane samples.	225
Table 19 Intrinsic transport properties of selected OSHF TFN FO membranes (estimated by the model calculation using the FO performances in Fig. 78)	238